(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY) SOLOMON E. GRESEN[SBN: 164783] STEVEN V. RHEUBAN [SBN: 48538] LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN 15910 VENTURA BOULEVARD, SUITE 1610 ENCINO. CALIFORNIA 91436 TELEPHONE: (818) 815-2727 FACSIMILE: (818) 815-2737 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christopher Lee Dunn 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 9 CASE NO.: BC417928 CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN. NOTICE OF ERRATA RE DECLARATION Plaintiff. 11 OF SOLOMON E. GRESEN IN REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO PITCHESS MOTION 12 REPLY DECLARATION OF SOLOMON E. 13 CITY OF BURBANK AND DOES 1 GRESEN ATTACHED THROUGH 25, INCLUSIVE, 14 Defendants. August 20, 2010 DATE: TIME: 8:30 a.m. 15 DEPT: 16 Assigned to: Hon. Alan Rosenfield, Judge 17 Department 31 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: 18 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that counsel for Plaintiff, Christopher Lee Dunn, inadvertently 19 failed to attach the deposition excerpts to his Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Pitchess 20 21 Motion, which is scheduled to be heard on August 20, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 31 of the above-referenced Court located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, California. Attached hereto is a 22 complete Declaration of Solomon E. Gresen including the exhibits. 23 Respectfully Submitted. 24 25 LAW OFFICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN Date: August 16, 2010 26 27 By: Solomon E. Gresen, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christopher Lee Dunn 28

8/<sup>709</sup>

4 5

### 9 10

11

# 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

#### **DECLARATION OF SOLOMON E. GRESEN**

- I, Solomon E. Gresen, declare as follows:
- 1. I am one of the attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN, a party to the within action. The following facts are true of my own personal knowledge, except those matters stated on information and belief, which I am informed and believe to be true. If called as a witness, I would and could competently testify thereto.
- This declaration is in support of Plaintiff's Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Pitchess 2. Motion.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and 3. correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 134, lines 8-18.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and 4. correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 158, lines19 through page 159, line 10.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit C, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and 5. correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 155 lines 20 through page 156, line 7.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 139 lines 10 through 15.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit E, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and 7. correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 143 lines 4 through 7.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday, January 13, 2010, page 54 lines 1 through 4.
- 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Wednesday,

January 13, 2010, page 88 lines 3 through 14.

- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Monday, March 22, 2010, page 314 line 18 through page 315, line 1.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Monday, March 22, 2010, page 315 line 24 through page 317, line 6.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit J, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Monday, March 22, 2010, page 334 lines 13 through 22.
- 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit K, and incorporated herein by this reference, is a true and correct copy of the excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Lee Dunn taken on Monday, March 22, 2010, page 334 lines 22 through page 335 line 16.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 16th day of August, 2010, in the County of Los Angeles, California.

SQLOMON E. GRESEN

- 1 Q. Did you tell Sergeant Duran about this comment?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did you report the comment to any supervisor?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Remind me, who was your lieutenant in vice at
- 6 the time this comment was made? So in 2007.
- 7 A. J.J. Puglisi.
- Q. Tell me -- let's just start by people.
- 9 Tell me the other individuals who have used a
- 10 racial slur in your presence.
- 11 A. Directed towards me or just in my presence?
- 12 Q. Let's start with directed towards you. But I'm
- 13 going to want both.
- A. "Racial slur" as in an epithet or a statement or
- 15 joke?
- 16 Q. Let me put it to you this way.
- Anything you consider to be racially offensive.
- 18 A. Sergeant Yadon.
- 19 Q. Okay. And I'm going to start with the list of
- 20 names.
- So who else besides Sergeant Yadon?
- 22 A. Claudio Losacco.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Sam -- oh, you said who else. Chris Racina.
- 25 And that's all.

, 1	STATE OF CAL_FORNIA )
	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
1.7	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Syrana Campana
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA CSR NO. 9573

- while you're in SED; right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. Do you have a sense -- did the California Wok
- 4 incident occur before the crosswalk incident?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. The crosswalk was first?
- 7 A. Yes.
- And I would like to add to the last question.
- 9 did recall something.
- 10 Q. Okay. Let me -- and I'll asking about that.
- But just so I'm clear, can you estimate for me
- when the crosswalk commentary occurred?
- 13 A. 2005 to 2006.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- A. It was prior to me being in vice/narcotics.
- 16 Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 17 A. You said any comments or -- was your -- your
- 18 question; correct?
- 19 Q. Any comments by Sergeant Yadon that you heard
- that you considered to be racially offensive.
- 21 A. Yes. As I stated with Claudio Losacco, Dan
- 22 Yadon was as much a party to the same behavior of using
- 23 and mimicking the accents and characteristics of the
- 24 speech of certain minorities.
- 25 Q. And what minorities were those?

1	A.	African-Americans	and	Armenians.
---	----	-------------------	-----	------------

- 2 Q. And did Dan Yadon do that in your presence?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. How many times?
- 5 A. Several times. Many times between him and
- 6 Claudio Losacco.
- Q. Was this also when you were in SED?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did he ever mimic Asians?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what did he do to mimic Asians?
- 12 A. There was a time where we were doing a -- a vice
- sting on massage parlors in the city. And there was a
- 14 comment made by him about -- something to the effect of,
- 15 "Me love you long time." And similar comments were made
- by him before and prior to that regarding that same
- 17 movie, "Full Metal Jacket."
- 18 Q. That's what you were referencing earlier when
- 19 you said "movie comments"?
- 20 A. "Movie phrases." Yes.
- 21 Q. And this was done in your presence?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Was this -- was this also while you were in SED?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the crosswalk incident,

. 1	STATE OF CAI TORNIA )
<i>1</i>	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Surana Mannana
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA CSR NO. 9573

- 1 else that were of a racial nature?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Anything else that Claudio Losacco said that you
- 4 found racially offensive?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. And I know you were in SED, but do you have a
- 7 sense of when the last comment that you overheard was
- 8 made by Claudio Losacco of a race -- racial nature?
- 9 A. My sense would be right up until he left.
- 10 Q. So just prior to your transfer into vice?
- A. Yes. Because he left right when I got there.
- 12 Q. Have I covered now all of the race-based
- 13 comments of Yadon, Losacco, and Anderson?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. So let me -- let me take them one -- I
- 16 believe I've covered Losacco; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And I've covered Anderson?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. What else did Yadon say?
- A. On one occasion -- well, let me back up.
- The vice/narcotics unit liked a restaurant
- 23 called the California Wok -- I believe that was the
- 24 name -- on Olive and frequented it.
- There was an occasion where they were going

- 1 there for lunch, and we were all supposed to go and meet
- 2 there after -- after some sort of operation, and I
- 3 indicated that I would not -- I didn't want to eat there.
- And he stated, "What? You don't like your
- 5 people's food?"
- And I said, "Well, I'm not Chinese."
- And he goes, "Well, it's all the same."
- 8 He also made several comments that were --
- 9 Q. Give me just a second before you move on, if you
- 10 don't mind.
- 11 A. Okay.
- Q. Tell me -- let me just ask you, when -- when was
- 13 this?
- MR. GRESEN: Well, I just do want to object.
- You ask him an open-ended question, and he has
- 16 it in mind, he starts answering, and every time you
- interrupt him, perhaps there's information that he was
- going to give that -- that, you know, he may not recall
- or may not recall it the same way the next time.
- 20 Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. I'll tell you what.
- 21 You -- you give me your -- go -- go through with your
- 22 narratives, and then I'll -- I'll ask you questions
- 23 after.
- Part of that was I just couldn't write fast
- enough.

.1	STATE OF CAI JORNIA )
·Y	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Sugar a Mannaga
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA/ CSR NO. 9573

- occasions were that it was either -- it was either out of
- 2 ignorance, jealousy, or the fact that I exposed them.
- 3 Q. What had you exposed about Officer Anderson?
- 4 A. That particular comment was not in reference to
- 5 Officer Anderson.
- 6 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, was Officer Anderson
- 7 jealous of you for any reason?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 MR. GRESEN: Speculation. Foundation.
- 10 Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: When is the last conversation
- 11 you had with Sergeant Murphy with respect to comments in
- 12 the workplace?
- 13 A. Directly after my confrontation with Sergeant
- 14 Yadon.
- 15 Q. This is in 2006?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What occurred during that conversation?
- 18 A. Well, Sergeant Murphy was a party to that
- 19 incident. He was the acting lieutenant, and he refereed
- 20 that conversation where I reported some treatment that I
- 21 was receiving from Sergeant Yadon. And we had
- 22 conversations after the fact regarding that incident.
- Q. What occurred between you and Sergeant Yadon,
- 24 the incident that you're referencing?
- A. The incident I'm referencing was on a particular

.1	STATE OF CAT FORNIA )
•	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Surana Manna
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA CSR NO. 9573

- 1 level that I'm not aware -- I'm only aware of by -- by
- 2 third party that also contributed to this atmosphere
- 3 between Duran and Yadon.
- 4 Several times Yadon was talked to by
- 5 Lieutenant -- at the time Lieutenant Taylor, who even
- 6 indicated to me that he was handling the situation and
- 7 documenting the problems.
- 8 Those problems continued until I took the test
- 9 that we -- the detective test that we previously
- 10 discussed. And then that's when it came to a head, that
- 11 I was told by Lieutenant Taylor and Duran in that meeting
- 12 that I previously mentioned that they did not want me
- 13 there. And the reasons that they did not want me there
- 14 weren't -- weren't detailed.
- Gary Seymour, who was a detective at the time,
- 16 was distressed about the fact that Dan Yadon gave him an
- official order not to speak with us, not only for the
- 18 issue of officer safety, but he actually came over and
- 19 told us that this was occurring and said that he was
- 20 going to disobey the order. Soon after that, he was
- voluntarily transferred out to another unit because he
- 22 was so dissatisfied with what was occurring and did not
- 23 approve.
- Q. What was the problem between Yadon and Duran, if
- 25 you know?

.1	STATE OF CAL JORNIA )
. •	) SS.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Surana Armonia
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA/ CSR NO. 9573

- A. My understanding was the fact that Dan Yadon had been removed from vice/narcotics, Chris Canales then had expressed his desire to be transferred to that unit.
- Q. Do you know who made the decision to approve

  Chris Canales being transferred to the decision to approve
- 5 Chris Canales being transferred to vice?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did Chris Canales replace anybody in vice?
- 8 MR. GRESEN: Foundation.
- 9 You may answer.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
- 11 Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Is there a normal complement
- of individuals in the vice unit, if you know?
- A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. And I know you said you were uncertain of the
- order, but let me ask it a different way.
- 16 Do you have a recollection of who replaced
- 17 Sergeant Yadon when he left the vice unit?
- 18 A. Sergeant Merich. That's why I was -- the order
- 19 before I had that question. That helps me recall.
- Q. During the time that you were a patrol officer,
- 21 who was or who were your direct supervisors? I'm just
- 22 looking for your immediate supervisors.
- A. I recall Sergeant Jon Murphy, Sergeant Omar
- 24 Rodriguez, Lieutenant Will Berry, Lieutenant Chris
- 25 Welker.

.1	STATE OF CAL JORNIA )
•	) ss.
.2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Sinana Ammaia
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA CSR NO. 9573

- 1 (Carol Humiston joins the deposition proceedings.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 2:33 p.m.
- 3 MS. PELLETIER: Thank you.
- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Dunn.
- 5 You were terminated from the Burbank Police
- 6 Department in July of 2008; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you need to refresh your recollection on
- 9 the date, you can look at paragraph 18 in your complaint.
- 10 A. Of which page? Oh, it's -- I see it. All
- 11 right.
- 12 Q. And you were terminated following an
- investigation that began in the spring of 2007; correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the genesis of that investigation was that
- 16 the Burbank Police Department got a complaint against you
- 17 from the Culver City Police Department; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. GRESEN: Foundation. Speculation.
- Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: And the -- the complaint was
- 21 that you tipped off somebody they were targeting in a
- 22 criminal investigation; correct?
- MR. GRESEN: Same objections.
- 24 You may answer.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

, 1	STATE OF CAL JORNIA )
į si	) ss.
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 29th day of January, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Surana A-none
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA/ CSR NO. 9573

PAGES 174 - 344

		314
1	A. The investigations, plural.	15:24:44
2	Q. Okay.	·
3	A. And that's why I'm asking, are you do you	15:24:46
4	want to start with Culver City or are you talking	
5	about Burbank?	15:24:50
6	Q. Well, I'm talking about	
7	Well, did Burbank have any control over Culver	15:24:55
8	City's investigation?	
9	MR. GRESEN: Foundation. Speculation.	15:24:57
10	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: To your knowledge.	
11	A. No.	15:25:00
12	Q. Did Burbank have any control over the L.A.	
13	County Sheriff's Department	15:25:03
14	MR. GRESEN: Same	
15	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: investigation?	15:25:04
16	MR. GRESEN: Same objections.	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	15:25:07
18	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: And what what control did	
19	Burbank have over the L.A. County Sheriff's Department?	15:25:13
20	A. According to the documents provided to me,	
21	Lieutenant Eric Rosoff provided the sheriffs with the	15:25:20
22	information regarding my investigation along with, I'm	
23	assuming, Sergeant Misquez.	15:25:28
24	Q. Provided the sheriffs with the underlying	
25	interviews and documentation; correct?	15:25:32

	1		
•			315
_	1	A. Yes. According to City documents.	15:25:36
	2	Q. Okay. What information do you have that the	
	3	Burbank Police Department played any role in Detective or	15:25:49
	4	Deputy, whatever his title is let me just get it	
	5	right Sergeant	15:25:56
	6	A. Lewandowski.	
	7	Q Lewandowski's conclusion that probable cause	15:26:01
	8	existed to believe that you committed a crime?	
	9	MR. GRESEN: Same objections.	15:26:06
	10	THE WITNESS: That, I don't know.	
	11	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. So let's get to	15:26:21
	12	well, is your contention that	
	13	Are you making a contention or is it your	15:26:30
	14	opinion that somehow Burbank did something to influence	
	15	the investigation or the fact gathering that we see from	15:26:42
	16	the Culver City Police Department?	
	17	MR. GRESEN: Rifkin objections.	15:26:45
	18	You may answer.	
	19	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: In other words, do you did	15:26:48
	20	Burbank do something to unduly influence Culver City when	
	21	they reached the collusion that you tipped the informant?	15:26:57
	22	MR. GRESEN: Same objections.	
	23	THE WITNESS: No.	15:26:59
	24	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. Did Burbank well,	
	25	let me strike that.	15:27:07

,.1	STATE OF CALLOORNIA )
	) ss. 16:01:54
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 6th day of April, 2010.
21	
22	
23	
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA, CSR WO. 9573

•	,	174
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STAT	TE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS	S ANGELES
3		•.
4	CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN,	
		)
5	Plaintiff,	)
		)
6	VS.	) No. BC417928
		) VOLUME II
7	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT;	)
	CITY OF BURBANK; AND DOES 1	)
8	THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,	)
		)
9	Defendants.	)
		<b>)</b> ,
10		
11		
12		
13	Continued Videotaped Der	position of
14	CHRISTOPHER LEE DUNN, t	taken at
15	444 South Flower Street,	, Suite 2400,
16	Los Angeles, California,	, commencing at
17	10:34 a.m., Monday, Marc	ch 22, 2010,
18	before Susan C. Campana,	, CSR No. 9573,
19	RPR.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	PAGES 174 - 344	

		315
1	A. Yes. According to City documents.	15:25:36
2	Q. Okay. What information do you have that the	
3	Burbank Police Department played any role in Detective or	15:25:49
4	Deputy, whatever his title is let me just get it	
5	right Sergeant	15:25:56
6	A. Lewandowski.	
7	Q Lewandowski's conclusion that probable cause	15:26:01
8	existed to believe that you committed a crime?	
9	MR. GRESEN: Same objections.	15:26:06
10	THE WITNESS: That, I don't know.	
11	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. So let's get to	15:26:21
12	well, is your contention that	
13	Are you making a contention or is it your	15:26:30
14	opinion that somehow Burbank did something to influence	
15	the investigation or the fact gathering that we see from	15:26:42
16	the Culver City Police Department?	
17	MR. GRESEN: Rifkin objections.	15:26:45
18	You may answer.	
19	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: In other words, do you did	15:26:48
20	Burbank do something to unduly influence Culver City when	
21	they reached the collusion that you tipped the informant?	15:26:57
22	MR. GRESEN: Same objections.	
23	THE WITNESS: No.	15:26:59
24	Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. Did Burbank well,	
25	let me strike that.	15:27:07

}		-
ľ		316
	1 Paragraph do you have any information or	15:27:25
	2 evidence that any of the information that Burbank Police	
	3 Department employees provided to the L.A. County	15:27:34
	4 Sheriff's Department was inaccurate?	
	5 A. Yes.	15:27:40
	б Q. And what was that?	
	A. Based on what I had previously testified to,	15:27:47
	8 that there's indication that one of the individuals, if	
	not the main individual, who provided documents,	15:27:54
10	statements, evidentiary material to the sheriffs to	
1:	l assist with their investigation was Lieutenant Eric	15:28:01
12	2 Rosoff.	
13	3 Q. Okay.	15:28:03
14	A. At some point during I believe it was after	
15	this investigation and I think we had covered this in	15:28:08
16	our in my first deposition. I became aware that	
17	Lieutenant Rosoff had illegally attempted to influence	15:28:17
18	the testimony of a potential witness in my case, an	
19	ongoing criminal investigation by the L.A. County	15:28:23
20	Sheriff's Office.	
21	Q. And what did was Lieutenant Rosoff successful	15:28:27
22	in interfering in the statements that the witness you're	
23	referring to made to the sheriff's department?	15:28:37
24	MR. GRESEN: Foundation. Speculation.	
25	You may answer.	15:28:41

THE WITNESS: Based on the outcome of this  investigation, it's my opinion that he was successful.  Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. Based on the outcome 15:28  of the sheriff's department investigation?  A. Based on the total the total incident, 15:28  beginning to end, where it ended in my termination.  Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29  The so far as you know, Lieutenant Rosoff	:49 :57
2 investigation, it's my opinion that he was successful.  3 Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. Based on the outcome 15:28  4 of the sheriff's department investigation?  5 A. Based on the total the total incident, 15:28  6 beginning to end, where it ended in my termination.  7 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29	:49 :57
Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. Based on the outcome 15:28  d of the sheriff's department investigation?  A. Based on the total the total incident, 15:28  beginning to end, where it ended in my termination.  Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29	:57 :03
of the sheriff's department investigation?  A. Based on the total the total incident, 15:28 beginning to end, where it ended in my termination.  Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29	:57 :03
5 A. Based on the total the total incident, 15:28 6 beginning to end, where it ended in my termination. 7 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29	:03
6 beginning to end, where it ended in my termination.  7 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this.  15:28	:03
7 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this. 15:29	
15:29	
8 The so far as you know, Lieutenant Rosoff	:17
	:17
9 only had contact with one Burbank employee who was 15:29	
10 interviewed by the sheriff's department; correct?	
Do you have any information about any other 15:29	:23
12 contacts that Lieutenant Rosoff had with any other member	
13 of the Burbank Police Department before they were 15:29	28
14 interviewed by the sheriff's department?	
15 A. No. 15:29	32
16 Q. Okay. And if I understand you correctly,	
17 there's only one person who Lieutenant Rosoff attempted 15:29	40
18 to influence in their testimony; is that correct?	
19 MR. GRESEN: Foundation. Speculation. 15:29:	45
20 You may answer.	
21 THE WITNESS: That I know of. 15:29:	46
Q. BY MS. PELLETIER: Okay. And what information	
23 do you have that that one person let's call him TM 15:30:	00
24 gave inaccurate information to the sheriff's department?	
25 A. None. 15:30:	06

1	STATE OF CALLORNIA )
ű.	) ss. 16:01:54
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 6th day of April, 2010.
21	
22	
23	
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA, CSR WO. 9573
	•

. •		334
1	A. Yes.	15:52:43
2	Q. Okay. And you left your files in your desk?	·
3	A. Yes.	15:52:48
4	Q. And who took over your desk?	
5	A. Nobody, as far as I know.	15:52:56
6	Q. And how do you know that those	
7	Those weren't your files; right? Those were	15:53:03
8	Burbank files?	
9	A. It's a combination of the two.	15:53:07
10	Q. Okay. Why didn't you take	
11	What what portion of the files were your	15:53:11
12	files?	
13	A. You'd have to be more specific about "files."	15:53:13
14	If you're referring to the burgled files, there's a	
15	number of items that were missing, stolen from the	15:53:21
16	office the narcotics office and my desk. Two separate	
17	locations.	15:53:26
18	Q. Okay. Let's talk about, what of your personal	
19	items were stolen?	15:53:36
20	A. It's hard to determine because I was never	
21	allowed to go back and find out what was missing.	15:53:43
22	One thing specifically that I know was missing	
23	based on what Sergeant Misquez indicated in the internal	15:53:50
24	affairs interview was a set of notes that I prepared	
25	shortly after this incident, if not the day the second	15:53:58

. 1	STATE OF CALLORNIA )
	) ss. 16:01:54
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES )
3 .	
4	I, Susan C. Campana, a certified shorthand
5	reporter in and for the state of California do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time
9	the witness was put under oath by me;
10	That the deposition was recorded
11	stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction and supervision and
13	contains a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
14	notes so taken.
15	I further certify that I am not related to any
16	party to said action, nor in any way interested in the
17	outcome thereof.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
20	my name this 6th day of April, 2010.
21	
22	
23	
24	SUSAN C. CAMPANA, CSR WO. 9573

-		
, ,		334
1	A. Yes.	15:52:43
2	Q. Okay. And you left your files in your desk?	
3	A. Yes.	15:52:48
4	Q. And who took over your desk?	
5	A. Nobody, as far as I know.	15:52:56
6	Q. And how do you know that those	
7	Those weren't your files; right? Those were	15:53:03
8	Burbank files?	
9	A. It's a combination of the two.	15:53:07
10	Q. Okay. Why didn't you take	
11	What what portion of the files were your	15:53:11
12	files?	
13	A. You'd have to be more specific about "files."	15:53:13
14	If you're referring to the burgled files, there's a	
15	number of items that were missing, stolen from the	15:53:21
16	office the narcotics office and my desk. Two separate	
17	locations.	15:53:26
18	Q. Okay. Let's talk about, what of your personal	
19	items were stolen?	15:53:36
20	A. It's hard to determine because I was never	ļ
21	allowed to go back and find out what was missing.	15:53:43
22	One thing specifically that I know was missing	
23	based on what Sergeant Misquez indicated in the internal	15:53:50
24	affairs interview was a set of notes that I prepared	
25	shortly after this incident, if not the day the second	15:53:58

1		
} e	· _ *	335
1	day that I was notified that from Nancy Mercado that I	15:54:03
2	was under investigation possibly.	
3	Q. Uh-huh.	15:54:05
4	A. And those notes were a very good recollection of	
5	what occurred in real time. Being only two weeks old,	15:54:14
6	I believe they provided an accurate depiction of of my	
7	side of the story. Those notes were provided to Sergeant	15:54:22
8	Duran in which he prepared a memo, which is in the	
9	investigation, to Sergeant or Captain Taylor.	15:54:30
10	And when I acknowledged the existence of those	
11	notes to Sergeant Misquez, I believe he actually went and	15:54:36
12	tried to look for them, and apparently the computer had	
13	been erased, and the notes were missing. I don't know if	15:54:42
14	he made a correlation between the burglary at that time	
15	or even knew about it because, again, he didn't report	15:54:49
16	that in the investigation.	·
17	Q. So you printed out a hard copy of your notes and	15:54:55
18	gave them to Sergeant Duran?	
19	A. Yes.	15:54:57
20	Q. And did you ask Sergeant Duran for those notes?	
21	A. No.	15:55:03
22	Q. Have you seen Sergeant Duran deny that that	
23	occurred?	15:55:07
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Did you print out a hard copy of those notes for	15:55:10

## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of eighteen and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1610, Encino, California 91436.

On August 16, 2010, I served a copy of the following document described as Notice of Errata re Declaration of Solomon E. Gresen in Reply to Opposition to <u>Pitchess</u> Motion; and Reply Declaration of Solomon E. Gresen Attached on the interested parties in this action as follows:

Carol Ann Humiston
Senior Assistant City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
275 East Olive Avenue,
Burbank, California 91510-6459
Facsimile: (818) 238-5724
Email: chumiston@ci.burbank.ca.us

Kristin A. Pelletier, Esq. Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90071 Facsimile: (213) 236-2700 Email: kpelletier@bwslaw.com

**BY MAIL:** By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as above, and placing each for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. mail Postal Service in Los Angeles, California, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address listed above. My electronic notification address is dj@rglawyers.com. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

**BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY:** I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed as above. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier.

**STATE:** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

EXECUTED on August 16, 2010, at Encino, California.

Daphne Johnson

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

XX

XX

From: Origin ID: HAFA (310) 815-2727 Rheuban & Greson Law Offices of Rheuban & Grese 15910 Ventura Boulevard Suits 1610 Encino, CA 91436

Fedex.

Ship Date; 16AUG10 ActWgt: 1.0 LB CAD; 100586776/INET3060

Delivery Address Bar Code

Ref # Dunn Invoice # PO # Dept #

SHIP TO: (818) 238-5700 BILL SENDER
Carol Ann Humiston
City of Burbank-Cuty Attorney
275 E OLIVE AVE

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY BURBANK, CA 91502



TRK# 7989 5019 8926

TUE - 17 AUG A2 STANDARD OVERNIGHT

WZ BURA

91502 ca-us BUR



After printing this label:

1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.

2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.

3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex com.FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery,misdelivery,or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss. Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$500, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.